

Geometry of theory space and RG flows *

Sayan Kar

Department of Physics and Centre for Theoretical Studies,
Indian Institute of Technology
Kharagpur 721 302, India

Received (received date)

Revised (revised date)

The space of couplings of a given theory is the arena of interest in this article. Equipped with a metric ansatz akin to the Fisher information matrix in the space of parameters in statistics (similar metrics in physics are the Zamolodchikov metric or the O'Connor–Stephens metric) we investigate the geometry of theory space through a study of specific examples. We then look into renormalisation group flows in theory space and make an attempt to characterise such flows via its isotropic expansion, rotation and shear. Consequences arising from the evolution equation for the isotropic expansion are discussed. We conclude by pointing out generalisations and pose some open questions.

1. Introduction

The use of a language, different from the standard, may sometimes shed new light on topics which do seem to be well-understood otherwise. A text-book example is the case of Maxwell's equations written in the usual three-vector version and its reformulation using Lorentz four vectors and the field tensor. In this article, we intend to adopt a somewhat similar point of view. Usually a theory and its consequences are derived by an analysis in coordinate (or momentum) space. Here, we wish to take a look via the 'theory space', which, essentially, is the space of the couplings which appear in a theory with diverse interactions. We endow this space with a line element (a metric tensor) through a prescription widely used in the theory of estimation in statistics ¹ and also discussed in the context of physics by various authors in different contexts in the past ^{2 3 4}. Equipped with this metric ansatz we proceed towards understanding the geometry of theory space, the nature of the flow of couplings (via finite renormalisations) therein and make an attempt towards arriving at interesting, generic, theory-independent statements. Towards the end, we pose a few open questions.

Let us begin with simple examples ¹ in the context of statistics. This will help us in understanding the method to be proposed for constructing a metric in the space

**Invited talk at the First IUCAA Workshop on 'Interface of Gravitational and Quantum Realms, IUCAA, Pune, Dec 17-21, 2001*

of couplings, later on. We consider a Gaussian probability distribution $P(x, g)$ for a random variable x , parametrised by its mean μ and standard deviation, σ . g is a compact notation for the set of all parameters that appear in P (here $g \equiv \{\mu, \sigma\}$) We therefore have :

$$P(x, g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp -\frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \quad (1)$$

We may now ask a set of questions :

If $\{\mu, \sigma\}$ can be thought as coordinates for a given space then :

(a) how do we define a metric in this space?

(b) what are the features of this metric?

(c) can we make precise statements about the nature of the family of probability distributions $P(x, g)$ with differing μ and σ values by looking at the metric and/or its properties?

Let us now try to answer these questions.

Defining a new quantity $w = \ln P$, we now write down an expression for the metric in the space of parameters. Known in the literature as the Fisher information matrix, it is given as :

$$g_{ab}(g) = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} (\partial_a \partial_b w) P(x, \theta) dx \quad (2)$$

The indices a run over the parameters (here μ and σ) appearing in the probability distribution. In the case of the Gaussian we have :

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} [d\mu^2 + 2d\sigma^2] \quad (3)$$

This is the line element on the hyperbolic plane and it has a constant Ricci scalar ($R = -\frac{1}{2}$). The metric coefficients are dependent only on σ and a coordinate singularity exists at $\sigma \rightarrow 0$. A coordinate transformation on σ can get rid of this singularity in the line element. Keeping μ or σ fixed we can obtain expressions for the ‘distance’ between probability distributions with varying σ or μ respectively. The distance, in a certain sense, is a measure of the relative entropy—it could measure the increase/decrease of disorder as we change the values of the coordinates in theory space.

The answer to the question in (c) above, however, is not entirely clear. Strictly speaking, the ideal issue here is whether we can obtain theory-independent statements from a general analysis in theory space. We shall provide an example of such a statement in the penultimate section of this article.

Examples, in the physical context, where a Gaussian distribution in the form shown in Eq. (1) may arise are abundant. Consider a linear harmonic oscillator in a constant electric field. The probability distribution for the ground state wave function can be easily recast into the form (1). A detailed analysis of such quantum mechanical examples in different contexts will be discussed elsewhere ⁵.

2. Theory spaces in field theory

The probability distribution mentioned in (1), with the replacement of coordinates by a field ϕ could be thought of as the partition function of a massive, Euclidean, scalar field theory in zero dimensions in the presence of an external interaction via a $j\phi$ coupling (j being a constant). Extending to higher dimensions one can set up the following framework ^{6,7}.

We begin with the partition function in an Euclidean space of D dimensions (g^a ($a = 1, 2, \dots, n$) denotes a set of n couplings) :

$$Z[g] = \int D\phi e^{-S[\phi]} \quad (4)$$

It is convenient to define the quantity $W = -\ln Z$, such that :

$$\int D\phi e^{-S[\phi]+W} = 1 \quad (5)$$

This implies $dW = \langle dS \rangle$ with $dW = \partial_a W dg^a$ and $dS = \partial_a S dg^a$. The O'Connor–Stephen's form of the metric in the space of couplings (g^a are n couplings which appear in the action through the expression $S = S_0 + g^a \int \Phi_a d^D x$, where Φ_a in general could be composite operators) :

$$g_{ab} = \langle (dS - dW) \otimes (dS - dW) \rangle = -\partial_a \partial_b W + \frac{1}{V} \langle \partial_a \partial_b S \rangle \quad (6)$$

where $W = \int w d^D x$ and V is the spatial volume.

For an action with interaction terms linear in the couplings, we have $g_{ab} = -\partial_a \partial_b W$. Usually, this expression is employed in order to find the metric in the space of theories.

For theories where the partition function is integrable one can hope to obtain exact results. In cases where our only analytic tool is perturbation theory we can only get the metric in the space of couplings upto a corresponding order in the perturbation parameter. The latter case requires a renormalisable quantum field theory.

We now discuss the geometry of the theory space of a couple of well-known theories. The examples involve partition functions which are exactly integrable. These serve as useful illustrations of the formalism outlined above.

2.1. Examples

We first consider an Euclidean scalar field theory with a mass term and a $j\phi$ coupling in D dimensions. The mass parameter m is also defined as a 'coupling' in a generalised sense. The partition function is :

$$Z[j, m^2] = \int D\phi \exp [-S(\phi; j, m^2)] \quad (7)$$

where $S(\phi; j, m^2) = \int d^D x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \phi (-\nabla^2 + m^2) \phi + j\phi \right\}$.

Following the prescription outlined in the previous section it is possible to write down an expression for the metric. This is given (for $D = 1, 2, 3$) as :

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + r^{\frac{4}{D}} d\chi^2 \quad (8)$$

where r and χ are defined as :

$$\chi = 2\sqrt{\pi} \left[\frac{D}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\Gamma(2 - \frac{D}{2})}} \right]^{\frac{2}{D}} \xi \quad (9)$$

$$r = \frac{4}{D} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(2 - \frac{D}{2})}{2}} \left(\frac{m^2}{4\pi} \right)^{\frac{D}{4}} \quad (10)$$

The Ricci scalar turns out to be ;

$$R = -\frac{2(2-D)}{D^2 r^2} \quad (11)$$

Separate calculations reveal, additionally, $R = -\frac{1}{2}$ (the hyperbolic plane) (for $D = 0$ and $R = 0$ (for $D = 4$). It is worth noting that $D = 2$ is like a crossover point in the sense that for $D < 2$ $R < 0$ while for $D = 3$ $R > 0$.

As we approach $r \rightarrow 0$ (for $D = 1$ and $D = 3$) the Ricci scalar diverges and we have a real singularity in the metric. The limit $r \rightarrow 0$ also implies (via the definition of r provided above) $m^2 \rightarrow 0$. A singularity in the space of couplings seems to be related to the massless feature of the theory, which, in turn, is linked to conformal invariance.

It is also easy to note that for $m^2 < 0$ the metric in theory space becomes Lorentzian.

Our second example will be the Ising model in the presence of a constant magnetic field. The partition function is given as :

$$Z_N = \sum_{\{\sigma\}} \exp \left[K \sum_{j=1}^N \sigma_j \sigma_{j+1} + h \sum_{j=1}^N \sigma_j \right] \quad (12)$$

where $K = \frac{J}{kT}$ and $h = \frac{H}{kT}$. Using the pair of quantities $\rho = e^{2K} \sinh h$ and K as the coordinates in the space of couplings we can derive the line element. This is given as :

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \rho^2} e^{2K} \cosh h} \left[\frac{4e^{4K} dK^2}{\sqrt{1 + \rho^2} + e^{2K} \cosh h} + \frac{d\rho^2}{1 + \rho^2} \right] \quad (13)$$

Evaluating the Ricci scalar we find :

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \sqrt{\frac{e^{4K} + \rho^2}{1 + \rho^2}} \right] \quad (14)$$

We note that as $K \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e. $T \rightarrow 0$, R diverges). The divergence of R occurs as we approach the critical point $T \rightarrow 0$.

Other theories for which an analysis similar to the above has been carried out include $-\lambda\phi^4$ theory ⁸, $O(N)$ model for large N ⁷, $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang–Mills theory ⁹ and the Ising model on a Bethe lattice ¹⁰. It will certainly be worthwhile to work out more examples in order to arrive at better insight into this approach.

3. Renormalisation group equation in theory space

In the above sections we have discussed the geometry of the space of couplings. The method of endowing this space with a line element and ways to calculate it have been outlined, with some simple examples. It is natural now to investigate the nature of curves in this space—or, more importantly, a family of curves. A curve in theory space represents a flow of couplings. We are also familiar with such a notion of flow of couplings in the theory of renormalisation in quantum field theory. Finite renormalisations generate a flow of couplings and the set of such finite renormalisations are known to form a semigroup, namely, the Renormalisation Group (RG). The flow of couplings, generated by the β -function vector field ($\beta^a = \frac{dg^a}{d\kappa}$, κ being the scale parameter which parametrises points on the flow lines) of a given field theory obeys the RG equation and is termed as a RG flow. We now embark on a geometric analysis of RG flows in theory space.

Let us briefly recall how the RG equation arises. We know that an ambiguity arises in the choice of the infinite part of a regularised Feynman amplitude. This necessitates the choice of a renormalisation scheme, which, naturally, implies the existence of a scale or a renormalisation point. The requirement that physical amplitudes, say the one-particle irreducible amplitudes, are independent of a choice of scale leads to the the RG equation.

Quantitatively, we can write the RG equation for the 2-point function as :

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa \partial_\kappa \langle \Phi_a(x) \Phi_b(y) \rangle + \beta^c \partial_c \langle \Phi_a(x) \Phi_b(y) \rangle + \partial_a \beta^c \langle \Phi_c(x) \Phi_b(y) \rangle + \\ \partial_b \beta^c \langle \Phi_a(x) \Phi_c(y) \rangle = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Using the facts that couplings are scaled to be dimensionless, the $\Phi_a(x)$ have canonical mass dimension D and the scaling argument one can arrive at the equation :

$$\begin{aligned} \left(x^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + y^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\mu} \right) \langle \Phi_a(x) \Phi_b(y) \rangle + 2D \langle \Phi_a(x) \Phi_b(y) \rangle = \\ -\beta^c \partial_c \langle \Phi_a(x) \Phi_b(y) \rangle - \partial_a \beta^c \langle \Phi_c(x) \Phi_b(y) \rangle - \partial_b \beta^c \langle \Phi_a(x) \Phi_c(y) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Integrating over all y and using translational invariance we get

$$\beta^c \partial_c g_{ab} + (\partial_a \beta^c) g_{cb} + (\partial_b \beta^c) g_{ca} = -D g_{ab} \quad (17)$$

which will finally yield (after some simple manipulations) :

$$\nabla_a \beta_b + \nabla_b \beta_a = -D g_{ab} \quad (18)$$

This is equivalent to the statement that RG flows are generated by a β -function vector field which is conformally Killing. This *geometric version* of the RG equation in theory space is possible because of the equivalence :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{D}} \Gamma(x_i, g^a \text{ fixed}) \equiv \mathcal{L}_{\beta} \Gamma(g^a, x_i \text{ fixed}) \quad (19)$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ denotes a Lie derivative, $\mathbf{D}$ is the dilatation generator and β is the above mentioned β -function vector field. This equivalence of descriptions was first noted by Lässig¹¹ and later elaborated in several papers by Dolan^{8,6}.

4. Expansion, rotation and shear of geodesic RG flows¹²

We now move on to a special class of RG flows which are geodesic. For theories in two dimensions such flows are possible. Our results in this section are however extendible to non-geodesic flows as well.

If a flow is geodesic as well as an RG flow it has to obey the RG equation as well as the geodesic equation $\beta^a \nabla_a \beta^b = 0$ (for affinely parametrised geodesics). In such a case, the following decomposition of the covariant gradient of a normalised $\hat{\beta}_b = \frac{\beta_b}{\sqrt{\beta_a \beta^a}}$ holds :

$$\nabla_a \hat{\beta}_b = \sigma_{ab} + \omega_{ab} + \frac{1}{n-1} h_{ab} \theta \quad (20)$$

where $h_{ab} = g_{ab} - \hat{\beta}_a \hat{\beta}_b$ is the projection tensor. σ_{ab} , ω_{ab} and θ are the shear, rotation and isotropic expansion for the geodesic flow under consideration. This way of analysing flows is traditionally employed in the context of Riemannian geometry and General Relativity^{13, 14}. Shear, rotation and expansion are measures of the shape of the cross-sectional area enclosing a geodesic congruence. Each of these quantities are functions of the affine parameter λ and are defined at every point on the flow. A circle going over to a concentric but larger/smaller circle is measured through the isotropic expansion θ . Circles enclosing a congruence, if they deform into ellipses would imply the existence of a non-zero shear. A twist in the family of geodesics, much in the same way as a twist on a rope made out of threads incorporates a rotation in the flow.

Using this decomposition in the conformal Killing condition it is easy to note that the isotropic expansion is uniquely determined in terms of the norm of the β -function vector field.

$$\theta = -\frac{D(n-1)}{2\beta} \quad (21)$$

where $\beta = \sqrt{\beta_a \beta^a}$.

The negative sign here ensures a convergence of the flow towards a focal point where all β^a approach zero. In the language of field theory such a point in coupling space is termed as a fixed point and implies a conformally invariant theory defined through the values of the couplings at that point. The crucial fact here is that this result is arrived at without reference to any specific theory.

The shear and rotation of a geodesic RG flow can also be defined by taking the symmetric traceless and the antisymmetric parts of $\nabla_a \hat{\beta}_b$.

Furthermore, following the approach of analysis employed in the context of Riemannian geometry and General Relativity we may look into the evolution equations for expansion, rotation and shear along the flow. In particular, let us investigate the equation for the isotropic expansion, known in the literature as the Raychaudhuri equation. This is given as:

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} + \frac{1}{n-1}\theta^2 + \sigma^2 - \omega^2 = -R_{ab}\hat{\beta}^a\hat{\beta}^b \quad (22)$$

Setting σ_{ab} and ω_{ab} equal to zero (which, incidentally, is a consistent solution for the evolution equations for these quantities) and using the expression for θ obtained using the conformal Killing condition (RG equation) we obtain :

$$\frac{D(n-1)}{2} \left[\frac{d\beta}{d\lambda} + \frac{D}{2} \right] = -R_{ab}\beta^a\beta^b \quad (23)$$

Qualitative analysis leads to the following statement : The l.h.s. of this equation is a finite quantity. However, the r.h.s. may diverge if R_{ab} diverges (this may be possible if we have a real singularity in theory space). In order to maintain the finiteness of the l.h.s we therefore require the divergence in R_{ab} be cancelled by a zero in the β^a . In specific types of theory spaces such as an Einstein space ($R_{ab} = \Lambda g_{ab}$) or a two dimensional one ($n=2$) it may also be possible to integrate the above equation and obtain explicit solutions for the norm β of the β -function vector field.

It may also be noted that the conclusion about the focusing of any geodesic RG flow towards a fixed point can also be generalised to the case of non-geodesic flows.

5. Outlook

The analysis discussed above holds for couplings which are not functions of the coordinates. We may generalise our formalism to include such options¹⁵. Simplistic extensions of the models discussed above with the corresponding couplings now dependent on spacetime coordinates can be worked out as examples. However, this extension becomes a necessity for the problem we propose below.

We would like to do this analysis for a nonlinear sigma model coupled to gravity described by the usual Nambu-Goto or Polyakov action for bosonic strings. Extensions to superstrings is the logical follow-up but certainly not easy to carry out. Treating the metric coefficients as couplings (an attitude primarily adopted

in string theory) the *set* of all couplings becomes a space of functions –an infinite dimensional space. Proceeding as before, we can define a metric in this space of all ‘real’ metrics and use it to study the ‘geometry’ in this ‘superspace’(space of all metrics *a la* Wheeler) . As before, we might want to look at the β -functionals–find the nature of the flows and check out the conclusions related to geodesic focusing of the ‘trajectories’ in theory space. Ofcourse, the focal point, in this case, will occur for a certain ‘metric function’ which will imply a particular spacetime geometry. At the focal point we therefore may have three notable features–(i) divergence of the theory space Ricci tensor (ii) zero of the β -functional (iii) θ tending to $-\infty$.

The usual analysis carried out to obtain low-energy effective actions for string theories of various types proceeds by calculating β -functionals and setting them to zero, in order to maintain quantum conformal invariance ¹⁶. The equations of motion thus obtained, by setting the β -functionals to zero turn out to be similar to the Einstein field equations of General Relativity, with some modifications. Solving these equations one obtains line elements with a variety of features (eg. black holes, naked singularities, cosmological models and so on). In the language of strings, these line elements are the admissible backgrounds in which a string can propagate.

However, as far as we are aware, an explicit construction of the theory space , the geometry of RG flows, expressions for θ , σ_{ab} and ω_{ab} have not been attempted anywhere in the literature. To begin an analysis of this type one might specialise to the class of cosmological metrics (motivated by the standard minisuperspace constructions) characterised by $a(t)$ (the scale factor) and another field, say the dilaton $\phi(t)$. The theory space here would be $\{a(t), \phi(t)\}$ –essentially an infinite dimensional function space. In ¹⁷ a discussion partially along the lines mentioned above was indeed carried out though with somewhat different motivation. It will be worthwhile to work out the theory space metric and the geometry of RG flows for this simplistic minisuperspace model, at least as a starting exercise towards tackling more involved and general scenarios.

We conclude with the modest statement that the analysis in theory space, so far, has not yielded any startling new results. The geometries obtained in various contexts as well as the result about the nature of RG flows discussed in the previous section necessarily restate known results in a different language. Moreover, the construction of the line element is certainly dependent on the integrability or renormalisability of the field theory. A better understanding of the merits/demerits of this approach would require more worked-out examples. Perhaps the central issue to address would be ‘What are the distinct features which can be obtained *exclusively* from a theory space analysis?’. A clear answer to this query will demonstrate the power, if any, of this approach, in future.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks D.V. Ahluwalia and N. Dadhich for inviting him to speak in the Workshop on Interface of Gravitational and Quantum Realms (IGQR-I) and also

for the warm hospitality extended by IUCAA, Pune during the workshop.

References

1. D. Amari, *Differential geometric methods in statistics*, Lecture Notes in Statistics, Volume 21, Springer-Verlag (1985)
2. A. B. Zamolodchikov, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fis. **43**, 565 (1986)
3. D. J. O'Connor and C. R. Stephens, 'Geometry, the renormalisation group and gravity' in *Directions in General Relativity, Vol 1 – Proceedings, International Symposium, Maryland, 1993* eds. B. L. Hu, M. P. Ryan Jr. and C. V. Vishveshwara, (Cambridge University Press, 1993)
4. B. Roy Frieden, *Physics from Fisher information*, (Cambridge University Press, 1999)
5. S. Kar, *in preparation*
6. B. P. Dolan, Intn. J. Mod. Phys. **A 12**, 2413 (1997)
7. B. P. Dolan, Nucl. Phys. **B 528**, 2425 (1998)
8. B. P. Dolan, Intn. J. Mod. Phys. **A 9**, 1261 (1994)
9. B. P. Dolan, Phys. Letts. **B 418**, 107 (1998)
10. B. P. Dolan, Intn. J. Mod. Phys. **A10**, 2439 (1995)
11. M. Lassig, Nucl. Phys. **B 334**, 652 (1990)
12. S. Kar, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 105017 (2001)
13. R. M. Wald, *General Relativity*, (Chicago University Press, 1985)
14. S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The large scale structure of spacetime*, (Cambridge University Press, 1972)
15. J. Erdmenger, Phys. Rev **D 64**, 085012 (2001)
16. M.S. Green, J.H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory*, (Cambridge University Press, 1987)
17. C. Schmidhuber and A. A. Tseytlin, hep-th/9404180